Utah CGP-Guidance Activities Actic., Plan (Large Group) 2004-2005*

Develop this plan at the beginning of the school year and include a copy with the Results Report due to USOE by June 15, 2005

School Donneville High District Weber

Target Group: (whole school, entire class) Class of 2006 With GPA between 2.0; 2.9 at the end of 15 Semester

Target Group selection is based upon the following data/information/school improvement goals: Responsible Citizenship, and

Litelong Learning.

| Intended Student | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|---|--|--|
| Intended Student Behavior | Identify the Utah CGP Student Outcome or the Desired Result for Student Learning | Activities to be Delivered in What Manner? | Resources/Staff Development Needed | Evaluation Methods How will you measure results? e.g. "From sample classrooms of tenth graders" | Start/End Dates | Projected # of Students Impacted |
| -Identify any issues/concerns within the target groupImprove academic performance -Improve attendance -Demonstrate an understanding of the importance of personal skill attitudes to job/future success | C: A2.7 DRDL: Students demonstrate individual responsibility by attending class regularly and on | individual basis. | Counselors Counseling Intern Secretaries | The change in GPA and Attendance Loss Performance on the UBSCT | Jan 2004 May 2006 Continue to work with same group next year. Interventions- Personal contact with students. Career/Intern Aide to conduct small group career guidance. | |

Principal's Signature

524 d

*adapted from the ASCA National Model: A Framework for School Counseling Programs

Teddie Endow, Mary Finan Cynthia Fonseca, Tom Marcheschi

Prepared By

ENTERE

Utah CGP-Guidance Activities Result^{**} "eport (Large Group) 2004-2005* Due to USOE June 15, 2005: may be submitted in other Tormats but include all information as required below.

onneville H

| Counselor | Target Group | Curriculum and Materials Used | Start Date End Date | Process Data: Number of students affected** | Perception Data: Pre and post test, competency attainment or student data** | Results Dat changes ir behavior, gra attendanc including achieveme data, achieveme related dat | n des, e nt nt | Implications: What does the data tell you? What can the student do with this now? |
|----------------------|---|--|------------------------|---|--|--|--|---|
| 4 | | | - | | | and/or skills/compete data** | ency | |
| Counseling Intern | Class of 2006 with a GPA between 2.0 & 2.9 at the end of the 1st semester of their 10th grade | results -UBSCT | Aug 2004 May 2005 | 94 Students | That interventions with students would result in an increase of their GPA and a decrease in their attendance loss. | Same Decrease Attendance Increase | 38 7 49 Loss 59 22 7 | Interventions were not as effective as predicted. However, there were extenuating circumstances not taken into account, i.eSpecial Education Students -The level of difficulty of course work -Student achieve- ment is linked to student interest SEE BACK |

Principal's Signature

Date

Date of Staff Presentation

Teddie Endow, Mary Finan Cynthia Fonseca, Tom Marcheschi

Prepared By

^{*}adapted from the ASCA National Model: A Framework for School Counseling Programs

^{**}Include actual numbers and attach data, examples and documentation

Utah CGP-Individual Planning: SEOP Guidance Activities Action Plan 2004-2005 Small inoup)

school Bonneville High School

District Weber

Target Group: Student referred to the Options Programs

Target Group selection is based upon the following data/information/school improvement goals: Responsible Citizenship, Effective

Communication, Lifeton bearing

| Intended Behavior | NCD Guideline, Student Competency, ASCA Standard, Developmental Asset, Standard and Objective, Desired Result for Student Learning | Activity to be Delivered in What Manner? | Resources/Staff Development Needed | Evaluation Method (How will you measure results?) | Start/End Dates | Projected # of Students Impacted |
|--|--|--|---|---|------------------------|--|
| Students octively participating in the OPTIONS Program(s) Will improve ocademic performance. | ASCA Standard A- Students will acquire the attitudes, knowledge and skills that contribute to effective learnin in school and across the life span | Students will | Teachers For affersation OPTIONS program. 2nd Period teacher Sur OPTIONS 1st Semester. trative | Compared Drades each Quarter from initial contact with students through the end of the year or when Services are no longer required or requested by student | Sept. 2004 May 2005 | Variable |

Principal's Signature

Data

Date of Staff Presentation

Counseling Staff
Prepared By

*adapted from the ASCA National Model: A Framework for School Counseling Programs



School Bonneville

Principal's Signature

District Wdool

| Counselor | Target Group | Curriculum And Materials | Start Date End Date | Process Data (Number of students affected) | Perception Data (Pre and post test competency attainment or student data** | Results Data (How did the student change as a result of the lesson or activity) | Implications (What do the data tell you?) |
|---|--------------|--|------------------------|---|--|---|---|
| MARY FINAN TEDDIE ENDOW Tum Marcherchi Cynthia Fonseca | "NPTIONS" | - Edline - School Marrers - Teadurs Utilized Organizational trchniques - Study skills Implementatio | school | 21 | Perception of Adm. and Community (parons H that a Skely Hall class would be beneficial to student success. | Little or no change | Need Specific coniculum (ie: study skills, skills for success, etc. |
| | | | | (4) | After-School Mentoring After relevial, menturing is student- initiated after teacher Introduction. Student is responsible to make avangement with teacher. | | |
| | | | | | Individual attention adhieves better verots | | |

Date of Staff Presentation

Date

Prepared By

^{*}adapted from the ASCA National Model: A Framework for School Counseling Programs

^{**}Attach data, examples and documentation

```
Option Study hall
# of students: 12
+ students transferred: 4 -> Total Students: 8
# of students G.P.A Increosed: 1 / # Attendance Loss Decreased: 10
# of students GIPA Decreased: 7/# Attendance Loss Increased 5
                                # Attendance Loss same: 3
th of students on-line graduation: &
# of students decreased failing grades: 2
# of students increased failing grades: 5
# of students failing grades stayed same: 1
                  (Not Taken)
UBSCT (Passing)
 Math 1
Reading 5
· 'riting 3
Mentoring
# of Students: 9
# students transferred: 2
# of Students G.P.A. Increased: 3 / Attendance Decreased: 0
# of students GIPA Decreased: 4/Attendance Increased: 2
                             Attendance Same: 5
# of Students on-line graduation: 3
# of students decreased failing grades: 1
# of students increased failing grades: 2
# of students failing grades stayed same: 1
                   (Not Taken) (Not Applicable)
 BSCT (Passing)
 Math
 Reading 6
 Writing 5
```

Utab Comprehensive Guidance Program Closing the Gap AND Curriculum Action Plans 2004-2005

School Fremont High School

District: Weber School District

Target Group: Entering Sophomore class of Fremant High School (576 students)

Target Group Selection is Based upon the Following Criteria: Based on the evaluation of prior years performance of sophomores.

Briving This Goal: Based on the evaluation of prior years performance of sophomores

| Intended Behavior | ASCA Standard, and Desired Result for | fprior years performance of son Activity to be Delivered, in What Manner? | Resources Staff Developme nt Needed | Evaluation Method (How will you measure results?) | Start/E ad Dates | Projected # of Students Impacted |
|--|--|--|--|---|--|---|
| Students will: Understand ways to succeed while as new sophomores at Fremunt. Decrease number of classes failed in their first semester at Fremunt High School. Increase student connection to Fremont High as they transition from Junior High. | DRSLs: Citizenship Numeracy/Literacy (if applicable) ASCA Standards: Academic standards: Students will acquire the attitudes, knowledge and skills contributing to effective learning in school and across the lifespan. Students will complete school with the academic preparation essential to success after school. Students will understand the relationship of academics to the world | 1. Invite incoming Sophomores to participate in the "Sophomore Smart Start" activity before school. 2. Have recommended students participate in the Silverwolf Camp (a transition group) 3. Do Individual SEOPs for all sophomore students and parents at the beginning of the year. 4. Go into the classroom at the first of the year to do a sophomore orientation about EO hour, tutoring available, etc. 5. Sophomore assembly first week of school which include dress code, cell phone use, other policies as well as extracurricular information. | Counselors will revise calendar to fit in guidance curriculum component and SEOPs. | Percentage of students not on-line to graduate at beginning and after 2 nd semester during the Sophomore year in comparison to Sophomore classes prior to this year. | Start: August 2004 End: June 2005 | 596 students |

Principal's Signature

Date of Staff Presentation

Kim Deamer Prepared By



13-05

88:18

Utah Comprehensive Guidance Program Closing the Gap and Curriculum Action Plan Results 2004-2005

School: Frement High School

District: Weber School District

| Target Group | Curriculum and Materials | Start/ End Date | Process Data (number of students affected) | Perception Data | Results Data | Implications Students coming |
|------------------------------|--|-----------------------|--|-----------------|---|--|
| 576 Sophomore students | 1. Invite incoming Sophomores to participate in the "Sophomore Smart Start" activity before school. 2. Have recommended students participate in the Silverwoif Camp (a transition group) 3. Do Individual SEOPs for all sophomore students and parents at the beginning of the year. 4. Go into the classroom at the first of the year to do a sophomore orientation about EO hour, tutoring available, etc. 5. Sophomore assembly first week of school which include dress code, cell phone use, other policies at well as extracurricular information. | | 1. We sent letters home to invite students to participate In Smart Start and Silverwolf Camp. 110 students participated in Smart Start. 2. 32 students participated in Silverwolf Camp. 3. Sophomore SEOPs were done in October individually. 76 % completed during this timeframe, with 71% parent attendance. 4. 96% of all sophomore students participated in the sophomore orientation curriculum presented by counselors. 5. Most sophomore students participated in the Sophomore assembly | None | Of the 576 Sophomores: Students began their sophomore year at 11% off-line. By the end of the first semester, only 14% of sophomores were offline. In comparison, two years ago, Sophomores came in off line at 25% and at the end of first semester, 42% were offline. | in better prepared obviously have influenced the results of this study. However, the increased number of students involved in the Sophomore smart start, the change in the |

Principal's Signature

Date of Staff Presentation

Kim Deamer Prepared By

Richard Shepherd

From:

"Richard Shepherd" <rshepherd@weber.k12.ut.us>
"Kim Deamer" <kdeamer@fhs.weber.k12.ut.us>

To: Cc:

"Karen Phillips" <kphillips@fhs.weber.k12.ut.us>

Sent:

Wednesday, June 08, 2005 3:35 PM

Subject: Re: data project

Hello Kim and Karen. The potential problems I see (from the USOE's viewpoint) with combining your two projects on one form are:

the CtG project should deal with a small population (perhaps the 32 kids in Silverwolf camp or the 110 in "Smart Start), but, your results talk about all 576 Sophomores). That would be the large population targeted for the other project, which you have labeled "curriculum." The state's form actually calls the large group project "SEOP Guidance Atctivities." There is no way to differentiate between results as they apply to the 32/110 kids and the entire class of 576 students. In essence it is one project as you have turned it in.

I will see if I can get a signature from one of your administrators before I send your project in. I was able to talk to Tom Sachse today and explained the reason for combining the projects.

Rich

---- Original Message -----

From: "Kim Deamer" < kdeamer@fhs.weber.k12.ut.us>

To: <<u>rshepherd@weber.k12.ut.us</u>> Sent: Tuesday, June 07, 2005 4:24 PM

Subject: Re: data project

Karen should have taken care of the signature part on the form. We were doing 2, but Karen actually threw out the data from the project in a fit of zealous cleaning. So we combined both projects as it is labeled on the form since it was BOTH an action project AND a curriculum project. I won't be in the building again until August. Karen will be in the week of June 20th I think. Sorry.

C. Kim Deamer, Counselor Fremont High 1900 N. 4700 W. Plain City, UT 84404 801-452-4000

>>> "Richard Shepherd" <<u>rshepherd@weber.k12.ut.us</u>> 06/06/05 12:12 PM >>> Hello, Kim. I left a message on your phone at FHS, but was not sure when you might get it. I started going through the data projects today and noticed that there was only one project in the FHS folder and it was not signed by a principal from Fremont. Can you fax me a signed copy? And, did you complete a second project? Thanks.

Utah CGP-Guidance ivities Action Plan (Large Group) 2004-2005

Develop this plan at the beginning of the school year and include a copy with the Results Report due to USOE June 15, 2005.

School: <u>Roy High School</u> District: <u>Weber School District</u> <u>Target Group:</u> (Whole school, entire class) <u>Tenth Grade Class</u>

Target Group selection is based upon the following data/information/school improvement goals: Students will learn effective resume writing, correct procedure for completion of job application, take a career interest survey and develop job interview skills.

| Intended Student Behavior | Identify the Utah CGP Student Outcome or the Desired Result for student learning. | Activities to be Delivered in What Manner? | Resources/Staff Development Needed | Evaluation Methods How will you measure results? e.g. "From sample classrooms of tenth graders | Start/End Dates | Projected # of Students Impacted |
|---|--|--|--|---|---------------------|--|
| Students will learn effective resume writing, fill out a job application, do a career interest survey and learn job interview skills. | ASCA Career Development Standard A: Student will acquire the skills to investigate the world of work in relation to knowledge of self and to make informed career decisions. C:A1 Develop Career Awareness C:A1-3,C:A1-5C:A1-9, C:A2 Develop Employment Readiness C:A2-6 Standard B: Students will employ strategies to achieve future career goals. C:B1 Acquire career information.C:B1-1, C:B-2, C:B1-5 C:B2 Identify Career Goals C:B2-4 | Counselor presentations in English 10 classes on career awareness, resume writing and job application. Students completed a career interest survey, wrote a personal resume, and completed a job application. | Informed teachers and enlisted their support. Counselors collaborated on curriculum to be presented. CTE coordinator purchased curriculum and review presentations. Copies of U.S. Work World curriculum. Handouts for students. | Pre and Post test to determine knowledge gained from presentations. Students will submit resumes and job applications to English teachers for correction. Teachers will reinforce resume writing skills and job application skills. | Nov.2 - 19, 2004 | 522/1390 or 34.4% of student- body |

Principal's Signature

May 11, 2005

Date

Date of Staff Presentation

Betty Pace, M.Ed Rayito Putnam, M.Ed Prepared By

adapted from the ASCA National Model: A Framework for School Counseling Programs.



ENTERED

UTAH CGP - IND.PLANNING: SEOP CLOSIN J THE GAP RESULTS REPORT 2004-2005

Develop this plan at the beginning of the school year and include a copy with the Results Report due to USOE June 15, 2005.

School: Roy High School District: Weber School District

Target Group: (Whole school, entire class) Tenth Grade Class

Target Group selection is based upon the following data/information/school improvement goals: Students will learn effective resume writing, correct procedure for completion of job application, take a career interest survey and develop job interview skills.

| Counselors | Target Group | Curriculum & Materials | Start Date End Date | Process Data (Number of Students Affected) | Perception Data (Pre and Post test competency attainment or student data) | Results Data (How did the student change as result of the lesson or activity). | Implications (What did the data tell you). |
|--|---------------------------------|---|---------------------------|--|---|---|---|
| Counselors: Calene Lucero,l Ellen McDougal Betty Pace Rayito Putnam Sharon Kamp | Entering Sophomore class. | US Work World- School to Work Curr. | Nov. 2- 19, 2004 | 513 Students | 17 % increase of knowledge on Pre/Post test. | New awareness of importance of career planning, resume writing, and job applications. More career interest clarification measured by comments during Reality Town. | Data tells us that students improved their understanding of career awareness and the real world. Data tells us that this is a worthwhile activity. |

Principal's Signature

May 11, 2005

Date

Od 25, 2005

Date /Staff Presentation

Betty Pace, M.Ed. Rayito Putnam, M.Ed.

Prepared by

Utah CGP-Closing the Gap Acti Plan (Small Group) 2004-2005

Develop this at the beginning of the school year and include a copy with me results Report due to USOE by June 15, 2005 School_Roy High School District Weber School District

Target Group: Students reading below 6th grade level

Target Group selection is based on the following data /information/school improvement goal: Our goal is to improve reading level of students reading below 6thgrade level as per school improvement goals. The data was gathered from testing students in English classes using the STARS Reading Test first and again after being placed in the Reading 180 class using the SRI (Scholastic Reading Inventory

| Intended | Identify the Utah CGP Student | Guidance | Resources/Staff | Evaluation Method | Start/End | Projected # of |
|---------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------|---|-----------------------|------------|----------------|
| Student | Outcome or the Desired Result for | Activity)or | Development | How will you | Dates | Students |
| Behavior | Student Learning | Intervention | Needed | measure Results? e.g. | | Impacted |
| | | | | "From sample | | 1 |
| | | | | classrooms of tenth | | |
| D 11 100 | | | | grader" | | |
| Reading 180 | Academic Development | Ninth, tenth, and | Two teachers | Pre and post | Aug.25, | 121 students |
| - objective : | Standard A: Students will acquire | eleventh grade | trained for Reading | assessment using the | 2004 | grades 10-12 |
| Improve | the attitudes, knowledge and skills | students tested | 180. | SRI (Scholastic | To May 13, | or 17% of the |
| reading | that contribute to effective learning | using STARS | 14 | Reading Inventory) | 2005 | student body |
| level of | in school and across the life span. | Reading Test. | Reading 180 | | | of Roy High |
| students | A:A-1 Improve academic concept: | Counselors | Curriculum was | | | School |
| reading at or | A: A1-3, A:A1-4, | placed students | purchased | | | |
| below a | A:A2 Acquire skills for improving | reading below | | | | 90 |
| sixth grade | learning | sixth grade into | STARS test | | | |
| level. | A:A2.2, A:A2.3, A:A2.4 | Reading 180 | administered to all | | | |
| | A:A3 Achieve School Success | Students in | 9 th 10 th & 11 th | | | |
| Vi. | A:A3.1, A:A3.2,A:A3.4 | Reading 180 re- | graders to find low | | | |
| 計 | Standard B: Students will complete | tested (Pre-test) | end readers to put | | | |
| 6.4 | school with the academic | using SRI | in the Reading 180 | | | |
| 1.4 | preparation essential to choose from | (Scholastic | program | | | |
| | a wide range of post secondary | Reading | | | | |
| 11 7, m) | options. | Inventory Test) to | | | | |
| | A:B1 Improve Learning | give a base line | | | | |
| | A:B1.1, A:B1.3, A:B1.5, A:B1.6, | for measuring | | | | |
| | A:B1.7 | improvement. | | | | |
| | A:B2 Plan to Achieve Goals | 16.0 | | | | |
| 520 | A:B2.6 | | | | | |

Principal's Signature

August 25, 2004 Date

Aug 23, 2004
Date of Staff Presentation

Rayito Putnam & Betty Pace Prepared By

Utah CGP- Closing the Ge Result Report (Small Group) 2004-2005

o USOE June 15, 2005: may be submitted in other formats include all information as required below.

School Roy High School

District Weber SchoolDistict

| Counselors and Teachers | Target Group | Curriculum And Materials | Start Date End Date | Process Data: Number of students affected | Perception Data: Pre and post test competency attainment of student data | Results Data: Changes in behavior, grades, attendance, including achievement related data | Implications: What does the data tell you? What can the student do with this now? |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|---|
| Calene Lucero Ellen McDougal Betty Pace Ray Putnam Sherl Eggett Cheri Bryan | Tenth, Eleventh, & Twelfth graders reading below a sixth grade level | Reading 180 curriculum, SRI (reading inventory), STARS Reading Test Writing Lab computers for testing. | Aug. 25, 2004 to May 13, 2005 | 121 Students reading below the 6 th grade level | The data from the pre and post test assessment showed substantial gains in reading performance for over half of the students enrolled in the Read 180 program. Improvements ranged from a 25 Lexile to over 1000 Lexile points. Some of the students that were not successful had major attendance problems, and two students that were severely intellectually impaired saw no improvement. | 69 of the 121 students enrolled in the program (57%) of students improved their reading level by 25 Lexile up to 1000 Lexile points. | 57% of students in the Reading 180 program improved their reading by one to 7 grade levels. One student went from pre reading level to 7 th grade reading level. The data generally met the claims made by Scholastic for their Reading 180 curriculum. It is predicted that the students that took advantage of this program will now improve their grades in other subjects. |

Principle's Signature

May 18, 2005 Date

August 21, 2004
Date of Staff Presentation

Rayito Putnam & Betty Pace Prepared By

Utah CGP-Guidance Activities Action Plan (Large Group) 2004-2005 Develop this plan at the beginning of the school year and include a copy with the Results Report due to USOE by June 15, 2005 Two Rivers Aigh School District Weber County Target Group (Whole school, entire class) ALL Straums at TWO RIVERS High School arget Group selection is based upon the following data/information/school improvement goals. The Keeping With our Counciling to expose all students to Comprehensive Guidance concepts, we have pushed all TRHS students to complete . 25 credit of Critical wars Skills wass. to require of Crytical Wark SKILLS class. Intended Student Identify the Ulah Guidance Resources/Staff Evaluation Methods Start/End Dates Projected # of i Behavior CGP Student Activity(ies) or Development How will you measure Students diTMD RIVERS Outcome or the results? e.g. 'From sample Intervention(s) Needed Impacted Desired Result for classiconis of Lengts Student Learning graders. . I tudents WILL - LESSERS OF Completion - Simply Tallying Curriculum Ang. 25,04 Perhaps Resume withha Improve Their of credit amount of credit for Instructor tu 3,05 250 ? interpretaining, Skill in locating th Contrial (Connector Leaned earned in the instructor wirld Career planning two classes for xevaluating Job Workskill of werk text - Special Speakers Information. Theyear. at outset) class. from Warkforce - Changed class hame to "Caree improvement of services, etc. Videos on Exploratn.+ Skills in deasion goal scitting, Orienta Hori making, career due to wark Priorities etc. planning exc. Component of CAT. WK. SKINS -Leff Knowledge course, arhancement or all Three no termes tresentation Frenced By Johnson Ongoing districtions adapted from the ASCA National Model. A Framework for School Counseling Programs

enterel

Utah CGP - Guidance Activities Results Report (Large Group) 2004-2005 Due to USOE June 15, 2005 may be submitted in other formats but include all information as required below

| Counselor | Target Group | Comiculum and Materials Used | Start Date End Date | Process Data Number of students affected** | Perception (Data Fre and posit test competency attainment or student data** | Results Data. changes in behavior, grades, attendance including achievement data, achievement related data, and/or strils/competency data** | Implications What does the dota tell you? What can the student do with this now? |
|-----------------------|---|---|------------------------|--|---|---|--|
| Brad V. Johnson | All Students Enrolled in Cortina luk SKINS & Careco Explore 4 Onewtation Classes. | finally adept a CTE courtenbern for both th CWS | d to June 3,05 | were enrolled were enrolled in Career Exp. 4 Correct., + 132 enrolled 14 Cortest We place Sktlls. (453 enrolled 14 The 2 classes) | 453 Students a chealty earned conedit no one or the other class. (45 percent | Fatrly Consistent Attendance & Persistent effort was required of Those who larned Credit. | -This class was our most succes means of classemenating Coxip. Gurd. conceptsThe pass/faratio was or of the best was or trest the pass/faratio was or trest. |

* *Include actual numbers and attach data. examples and documentation.

Utah CGP- Closing the Gap Action Plan (Small Group) 2004-2005* Develop this plan at the beginning of the school year and include a copy with the Results Report due to USOE by June 15, 2005 School TWO RIVERS High School District Weber County Target Group Advisory students Target Group selection is based on the following data/information/school improvement goal. Dine of our school goals expose all TRHS Students to Comprehensive Consdance concepts Intended Student Identify the Utah Guidance Resources/Staff Evaluation Method Start/End Dates Projected # of Behavior CGP Student Activity[fes] or Development How will you measure Mudents Oxidcome or the Interventions(s) results? e.g. 'From lample Needed Impacted Desirect Result for classrooms of tenth Student Learning graders. " To have all Either: 1. Advisory 1. Teather Simple tabulation August 25, Perhaps TRHS Students 1. Enhanced lessons. Trakking on of the amount 2004 Hu earn Advisory Left Knowledge 100 av Advisory lessons of Advisory 2. "E-Choices" June 3, 2005. Credit. More ... & activities, activities; create given. 2. Educational (Evidence of 3. ASUAB TEST (Accomplished & occupational (We needed a Their berng In Weekly & Datespretatu. Exploration base-line since exposed to faculty Mitgs 4. Career were a 1st year 3. Career a cabstantial by Courselar) Day School.) amount of Planning 2. Resource 5. Character Comp. Gurdana (AT least Ed Mideos. books t- Ordered Concepts.) 6. Special One or more) 4 distributed Then weekly at faculty mity. Spenkers -WITH CORP. GAR finances, time tueds for all

Prepared By

RIVERS H.

Principal's Signature

*adapted from the ASCA National Model: A Framework for School Counseling Programs

1

ENTEREL

Utah CGP - Closing the Gap Results Report (Small Group) 2004-2005*

Due to USOE June 15, 2005; may be submitted in other formats but include all information as required below.

| Caunselar | Target Group | Curriculum and Materials | Start Date End Date | Process Data. Number of students affected** | Perception Data: Pre and posit test competency attainment or student data** | Results Data changes in behavior, grades, attendance including achievement data, achievement related data, and/or skults/competency data** | implications: What does the data tell you? What can the student do with this now? |
|-----------------------|---|--|------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Bred V. Johnson | ALL Advisory Students at TRHS. | "Teen fower" by Jean Working "Why try" by Thistian Moore "Every day Heroes" Beth Johnson | Vanc 3, 2005. | of the 468 Students Curolled at TRHS 04-05, 59 Carried Advisory Credit. | * no previous data available ist yearschool. This years statistics are our "baseline". | Advisory Structured Credit Complete required fairly regular attendance + persistent effort by | was earned in the School year Than earlier. (Early on Admits, Class was see as optional-required.) |

Note: ** Include actual numbers supporting conclusions and attach data examples and documentation

From: TWC RIUERS H.S